STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Re: Concord Steam Corporation Cost of Energy

DG 15 -____

DIRECT PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF PETER G. BLOOMFIELD

September 11, 2015

1 Q. Please state your name and address.

- A. My name is Peter G. Bloomfield. My business address is P.O. Box 2520, Concord, NH
 03302.
- 4 Q. How are you associated with Concord Steam Corporation?
- 5 A. I am President of Concord Steam Corporation (the "Company").

6 Q. Please describe your education and professional background.

- A. I graduated from Union College in 1976 with a BS in Mechanical Engineering. I am a
 registered Professional Engineer in New Hampshire, New York, and Colorado. I have
 been employed as an engineer in the steam and power industry since college. I became
 President of the Company in the fall of 1986.
- 11 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide support for the Company's cost of energy
 request for the upcoming heating season. I will present documents and other information
 in support of the Company's request, and explain the development of the cost of energy
 charges and a calculation of the proposed charge. The exhibits that I am presenting
 consist of Schedules-1 to 9 as further described below.
- 17 Q. Please describe the Company and its customers.
- 18 A. Concord Steam provides district steam service from its facility at Pleasant Street in
- 19 Concord, New Hampshire, and is the only steam utility in the state. It has 85 customers,
- 20 all of which are located in the City of Concord and all of which are commercial or
- 21 institutional customers, with the exception of one residential customer.
- 22 Q. Are you familiar with the books and records of the Company?
- 23 A. Yes.

1 Q. Has this filing been prepared by you or under your supervision?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 What is the current cost of energy charge? **O**. 4 The current cost of energy charge is \$26.10 per Mlb (thousand pounds). In Order No. A. 5 25,728, the Commission approved a charge of \$25.10 and permitted the Company to 6 adjust the charge upwards or downwards as necessary within 20% of the approved 7 charge. The current cost of energy charge reflects that there was one adjustment during 8 the year. In March, the COE was raised by \$1.00 to \$26.10. 9 **Q**. What was the amount of the over or under collection during the past year that the 10 Company proposes to reconcile through the Cost of Energy charge for the upcoming 11 year? 12 Α. The Company estimates that there will be an over collection of \$5,418 from the 2014-13 2015 Cost of Energy period. This is a change from the 2013-2014 under collection of 14 \$145,077. Due to anticipated fuel costs and the production expenses that the Company 15 proposes to include in the cost of energy, the Company is requesting a change in its cost 16 of energy charge from \$26.10/Mlb to \$25.22/Mlb, as set forth in Schedule-1 to my 17 testimony. 18 0. Please explain Schedule -1. 19 A. Schedule-1 is a table that lists the amount of steam that the Company forecasts it will sell 20 during the period of November 2015 through October 2016, on a weather normalized 21 basis. Also listed is the amount of fuel and the cost of the fuel that the Company expects
- to consume for the same period. Schedule-2 is the backup detail for Schedule-1.
- 23 Q. Please explain Schedules-3 and -4.

- 3 -

1	А.	Schedule-3 is the worksheet showing how the steam sales figures were proformed based
2		on the 30-year degree day average. Schedule-4 is the reconciliation of actual energy cost
3		versus revenue for the 2014-15 season. This shows an expected \$5,418 over collection
4		for the year.
5	Q.	How will this change to the Company's cost of energy charge affect its customers?
6	А.	As set forth in Schedule-6 to my testimony, the Company estimates that its customers
7		will experience less than a 1% decrease in their total bill. The detail is as set forth on
8		Schedule-6.
9	Q.	Aside from the need to reconcile the over recovery from the prior period cost of
10		energy, what are the other principal changes in the cost of energy for the upcoming
11		heating season?
12	А.	The decrease in cost is due to the wood price staying the same and , a reduced natural
13		gas price and the over recovery.
14	Q.	Can oil and gasoline prices affect the price of wood for the Company?
15	А.	A change in the cost of diesel fuel will cause a corresponding increase or decrease in the
16		cost of wood. The loggers use diesel fuel to operate the logging equipment as well as the
17		delivery tractor trailer trucks. For every \$1.00/gal increase in diesel, the cost of wood
18		increases \$2.00/ton. Wet weather can also cause an increase in the cost of wood fuel, due
19		to production problems with working in wet forest lots. We are expecting wood prices to
20		remain the same as last year. There has been no noticeable effect on our pricing from
21		wood consumption at the Berlin Burgess Biopower plant.
22	Q.	Are there any changes in types of fuel being used at Concord Steam?
23	A.	No. The Company has been burning wood since January 1, 2004. Wood is the primary

- 4 -

fuel, although the Company still uses some natural gas for peak shaving and backup. The
Company procures natural gas through a competitive bid process. This year the
Company has selected Direct Energy as a supplier for the year. Approximately 80% of
the steam is generated by burning wood in two of the three active boilers used by the
Company. The Company's other boiler is used as a peaking unit, and can burn natural
gas.

7

Q.

What are the expected savings due to burning wood instead of oil and gas?

8 A. The Company has entered into contracts for its wood supply that will result in an average 9 delivered cost of approximately \$27/ton. Of this cost, approximately \$1.00 is for the 10 actual cost of the wood, \$13.00 is for labor and chipping and \$13.00 for transport. A ton 11 of wood is approximately equivalent to a barrel of oil in net steam energy output from the 12 boiler. At the present cost of gas at \$12.19/MMBtu (\$75/ton equivalent), wood at total 13 combined cost of \$35/ton is attractive and economical. The annual estimated savings to 14 the Company's customers, including the allowance for additional direct costs associated 15 with burning wood, is over \$600,000.

16 Q. Are there any changes in the Company's wood storage and handling systems?

A. No. The Company has been successfully operating the wood storage yard, and it has
gone very well. The yard gives the Company better control over its wood supply and has
allowed for some creative uses that have enabled the Company to keep the cost of wood
fuel low. The yard also allows for better timing of deliveries of wood to the plant. In
addition, by directly operating the wood yard, the Company has been able to use its
employees more efficiently. Personnel work at the yard in the winter and are able to
work at the plant in the summer for maintenance.

- 5 -

Q. Are any of the costs associated with operation of the wood yard included in this filing?

A. Yes. The lease of the yard and the direct cost of running the yard are included in the cost of wood fuel. The monthly lease payment for the wood yard is \$11,816. The direct costs are the maintenance of the equipment, diesel fuel for the front end loader and the delivery truck, and utilities for the yard. These estimated costs are itemized on Schedule-8. The cost of labor has not been included in the cost of wood fuel which is consistent with how the costs of operating the wood yard have been treated in prior cost of energy

9 proceedings.

10 Q. What other operating costs are included in the COE?

A. The other operating costs are detailed in Schedule 9. These costs are ash disposal, State
 of NH air permit fees, water and sewer costs, and boiler water treatment chemicals. The
 inclusion of these costs were approved in 12-242, Order #25,499.

14 Q. How will you estimate the cost of fuel 12 months ahead?

15 A. The Company presently pre-purchases 25% of its wood fuel requirements and locks in 16 the price of 100% of its natural gas requirements for the upcoming heating season. The remainder of the fuel is priced according to the estimated cost of fuel as of the time of 17 this filing. As the great majority of the Company's consumption occurs during the 18 19 heating season, any fuel cost changes later in the COE year will have a small effect on the annual charge. The Company is pre-buying market wood now for use later in the heating 20 season. The wood the Company is buying now is being stored off site for reclamation 21 during the heating season. The Company is expecting wood to be over 80% of total fuel 22 23 consumed.

Q. How will a change of annual steam sales affect the recovery of the actual energy costs?

A. If the Company sells less steam in a year than forecasted, the amount of energy consumed
is reduced as well. The reverse is also true, in that if sales increase, energy use would
increase. This means that variations in steam sales will have a limited effect on energy
recovery charges. However, line losses do remain constant and are not significantly
affected by steam sales or weather. Therefore, a significant reduction in sales results in
an under collection of the cost of energy. A change in steam sales can also result in a
different mix of gas vs. wood fuel, which can change our cost forecasts.

10 Q. How did you calculate your steam sales projections?

11 A. The Company weather normalizes its Company's actual steam sales from August 31,

12 2014 through July 2015 to a 30-year degree-day average. See Schedule-3.

13 Q. How will you account for over or under collection of annual energy costs?

A. The Company tracks costs all year, and if the cost of energy changes significantly from
the forecast, the Company will apply a cost of energy adjustment part way through the
year within the adjustment band authorized by the Commission. At the end of the cost of
energy year, the Company reconciles revenues collected versus the actual cost of energy
and will carry forward a positive or negative balance as an adjustment to the energy cost
calculation for the next year accordingly.

Q. What was the amount of over or under collection, if any, for the 2014-2015 cost of energy year?

A. As I noted earlier, the Company projects it will over collect \$5,418 for the period from
November 1, 2014 to October 31, 2015, which is less than 0.2% of its total energy

- 7 -

1

charges for the year. This is itemized on Schedule-4, with the detail shown on Schedule-

2

5.

3	Q.	Has the number of customers changed over the past year?
4	А.	The Company has lost four customers this year. St John School, NH Charitable, NH
5		Historical Society, and a commercial building owned by the Latchis family.
6	Q.	What does the Company project for the upcoming heating season?
7	A.	The Company assumes a normal heating season, and will try to minimize the amount of
8		over or under collection by adjusting its energy rates during the year as allowed by the
9		Commission. In past years, the Commission has authorized the Company to adjust its
10		energy rates upwards or downwards by 20%.
11	Q.	When does the Company seek to implement this new rate?
12	A.	The Company is requesting that the rate be implemented on a service rendered basis as of
13		November 1, 2015.
14	Q.	Has the Company taken any steps to reduce losses of steam in its system?
15	A.	Yes. The Company has continued to repair and upgrade underground steam lines. This
16		is an ongoing process that is part of the Company's standard maintenance procedures.
17		The Company continues to use a thermal camera to document the conditions of its lines,
18		and has used it to pinpoint the locations of leaks that showed up as hot spots, which have
19		been repaired. The Company has also completed a system survey, and this process will
20		continue to be a important part of maintaining the system. A 12" leaking expansion
21		joint was replaced this past year, and over 200 feet of underground pipe were replaced
22		and reinsulated as part of normal maintenance.
23	Q.	In its Order 24,147, the Commission required the Company to submit a cost benefit

1

2

analysis of its steam turbine cogeneration operations. Has the Company performed such an analysis?

A. Yes. As of January of 2005, the "Cogen" division of the Company was made part of the utility, and all of the costs and revenues from that operation became part of the regulated company. Order 24,147 requires the Company to justify that this combination makes economic sense. Schedules CB-1 through CB-5 provide the cost/benefit analysis with back up data.

8 Q. Has the electric power generation operation been cost effective?

9 A. Yes, from August 1, 2014 to July 31, 2015 the cogeneration system has saved the
10 Company (and ultimately its ratepayers) over \$88,000, from sales of excess electricity to
11 ISO-NE and from avoiding buying power from Unitil. This savings is after all costs,
12 including fuel, are taken into account.

13 Q. Has any progress been made on development of the new steam plant project?

14 A. Yes. The project has been revised to repower the existing facility on Pleasant St. The

15 environmental permits are in hand and the electrical interconnection study is underway.

- 16 TD Securities will be the underwriter for a tax free bond issue to finance the project. We
- are now working on getting a long term power sales contract and long term steam
- 18 contracts in place, which must be done before the financing can be finalized. Once the
- 19 financing plans have been finalized, the Company intends to submit a filing to the
- 20 Commission for final approval, as contemplated by the Commission's order in Docket

21 DG 08-107.

23

- 22 Q. What plans are there for future system work?
- A. There are some portions of the system that are scheduled for repair in the summer of

- 2016, most notably some 12 "expansion joints on the main Pleasant St line. The thermal
 imaging study is being updated and reviewed, so that these plans could change as
 additional leaks occur.
 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 5 A. Yes, it does.

6